

Chapter - IV

(i) Prime numbers: — Any integer $p > 1$ which has no divisor except 1 and the number itself is called a prime number. It is denoted by p . Also the n th prime is denoted by p_n .

(ii) Composite number: — Any integer greater than 1 which is not prime is called composite number.

Theorem 1: The least divisor, other than 1 of a composite number is a prime.

Proof: — Let if possible the least divisor $q (> 1)$ of an integer a , is not prime, then it has a divisor q_1 , $1 < q_1 < q$. Clearly, q_1 is a divisor of a , which contradicts the fact that q is the least divisor, since if a is not prime, then its least positive divisor is a prime divisor.

Theorem-2 If a is a composite divisor and q is its least positive divisor then

$$q \leq \sqrt{a}$$

Proof: — Given that q is divisor of a i.e. a/q then we have

$$a = q a_1$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 \geq q$$

$$\text{Hence } a \geq q^2 \text{ i.e. } q \leq \sqrt{a}$$

Theorem - 3

If p is prime and a is any integer, then either $(a, p) = 1$ or a is multiple of p .

Proof: \rightarrow Given that p is prime, then it has two divisors 1 and p . Therefore

$$(a, p) = 1 \text{ or } (a, p) = p$$

in case of $(a, p) = 1$ result is obvious.

if $(a, p) = p$ then by definition of gcd a is multiple of p .

Theorem - 4 (Euclid's theorem)

The number of prime is infinite i.e. there is no end to the sequence of primes.

Proof: \rightarrow Let p be any prime and q is prime divisor of $a = 1p + 1$. \neq

Now since $q \nmid p$, $q > p$.

Therefore, for any given prime number, there is a greater prime number, and hence there are infinitely many prime.

Theorem 5 The number of primes of the form $(4n-1)$ is infinite.

Proof: \rightarrow Let $4n_1-1, 4n_2-1, \dots, 4n_k-1$ be all the primes not greater than $4n-1$ with same form. Then

$$a = 4(4n_1-1) \dots (4n_k-1) - 1$$

has a prime divisor different from $4n_i-1$. clearly the prime divisor of a

is an odd number. Since an odd (27) number can be written as $4m+1$ or $4n-1$ and $(4m+1)/(4l+1) = 4(4lm+l+pm) + 1$ the prime divisor of a can not be all of the form $4n+1$ and therefore, among them, there exists an integer of the form $4n-1$.

Theorem 6

If p is prime and $p|ab$ then either $p|a$ or $p|b$.

Proof: If $p|a$ then $(a, p) = p$ then theorem is proved. Now suppose that

$p \nmid a$. Then only divisors p are 1 and p , therefore $(p, a) = 1$

or $(p, a) = 1$

Therefore, there exists integers x and y such that $1 = ax + by$

Multiplying by b , we get

$$b = abx + b^2y$$

Since, by hypotheses p divides ab and since obviously p divides p , it follows that p divides b .

(Fundamental theorem of Arithmetic)

Statement: — Every positive integer greater than 1 can be expressed uniquely as a product of primes, up to the order of the factors. More precisely, any positive integer a can be expressed as

$$a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r \quad \text{all } p_i \text{ being primes.}$$

Further, if a is also given by

$$a = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s \quad \text{all } q_j \text{ being primes.}$$

then $r = s$ and

p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r and q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s differ only in their orders.

Proof: — Let $a > 1$ be an integer. If a is prime then result is obvious. If n is composite number, then \exists a prime p_1 such that

$$a = p_1 a_1 \quad \text{for some integer } a_1$$

If a_1 is prime, then a can be expressed as the product of prime factors. But if a_1 is a composite number then \exists a prime p_2 such that $a = p_1 a_1 = p_1 p_2 a_2$ for some integer a_2 .

If a_2 is prime, then again it can be expressed as the product of prime factors. If a_2 is a composite number then we proceed continuously as above.

Now since $a > a_1 > a_2 \dots$

the process can not continue infinitely,

Thus, after a finite number of steps, we get

$$a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$$

where all p_i 's are prime.

Now we shall show the uniqueness of factorisation. (29)
Let if possible a can be represented as a product of primes in two ways, as follows

$$a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s \quad r < s \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where p_i and q_i are primes in the non decreasing order. i.e.

$$p_1 \leq p_2 \leq p_3 \dots \leq p_r \quad \text{and} \quad q_1 \leq q_2 \leq q_3 \dots \leq q_s$$

Since $p_1 \mid q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$,

There exists some q_k such that $p_1 \mid q_k$.

But p_1 and q_k are both primes. Thus $p_1 = q_k$.

Rearrange q_i 's such that $p_1 = q_1$.

on cancelling p_1 and q_1 in (1) we get

$$p_2 \cdot p_3 \dots p_r = q_2 q_3 \dots q_s$$

We continue this process till all p_i 's are exhausted. Now since $r < s$, we get

$$1 = q_{r+1} q_{r+2} \dots q_s$$

Which is not possible because q_i 's are primes.

Therefore, r can not be less than s .

Similarly we can show that s can not be less than r .

Hence $r = s$ and

$$p_i = q_i \quad \forall i$$

\Rightarrow Factorization is unique